



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,305	11/04/2003	Katsufumi Ohmuro	1508-68672	5050	
7590 06/28/2006			EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			SAID, MANSOUR M		
Suite 2500	NS & CRAIN, LID.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
300 South Wac		2629			
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/701,	10/701,305 OHMURO ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit	
		MANSC	UR M. SAID	2629	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun				ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm D period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. Eatutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become A	IICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of this of the case of the	
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Since this application is in condition	2b)☐ This action is for allowance exce	non-final. ot for formal ma	•	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte</i> C	<i>luayi</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restricti	re withdrawn from o			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		o) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	·		· · · · ·	• •
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur anal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in a nents have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	l Stage
A44- 1	M-)				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/15/03</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8 and 16-24, drawn to a liquid crystal display device constituted by enclosing liquid crystal between a pair of substrates, classified in class 345, subclass 92.
 - II. Claims 9-15, drawn to a manufacturing method for a liquid crystal display device, classified in class 445, subclass 24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case group I is directed to LCD, which can be made by another/different process such as etching
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Furthermore, after election between I and II above and election species requirement is provided as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species 1 figures 7-12

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

Species 2 figures 13-15.

Species 3 figures 16-17.

Species 4 figures 18-28.

Species 5 figures 29-30.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. There are not generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305

Art Unit: 2629

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application/Control Number: 10/701,305 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at

the Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mansour M. Said

6/22/06

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600